

# VERNON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

**Dr. Joseph Macary**  
*Superintendent of Schools*  
(860) 896-4660

**Robert F. Testa**  
*Assistant Superintendent  
of Schools*  
(860) 896-4676



## 2019-20

# Teacher Evaluation Handbook

<b>PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEMBERS</b>	
<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>
Robert Testa	Assistant Superintendent
Jason Magao	Director of Teaching & Learning
Melissa Trantolo	Principal-Maple Street School
Melissa Iles	Director of Pupil Services
Allayne Sundt	Assistant Principal-Rockville High School
Josie Schneider	Teacher-Maple Street School
Kristan Doyle	Teacher-Northeast School
Maureen Kearney	Reading Specialist-Skinner Road School
Paula Hughes	Instructional Coach
Diane Tutko	Teacher-Lake Street School
Amy Violette	Numeracy Interventionist-Northeast School
Karen Lipski	Technology Integration Specialist
Shelley McCone	Teacher-Maple Street School
Teresa Schmitt	Technology Teacher-Vernon Center Middle School
Elizabeth Trosell	Teacher-Rockville High School
Mike Savignano	Instructional Coach-VCMS
Kimberly Heimer	Teacher-Center Road School
Carrie MacDonald	Teacher-Center Road School
Kelly Stein	Instrumental Music

**PDEC VISION**

As the PDEC, we aspire to support the development of a culture of learning for all in which teachers and administrators value a collective responsibility and commitment to collaboration and continuous learning through professional feedback to ensure VPS’s achievement of vision of a graduate

**PDEC MISSION**

We will achieve this vision by ensuring the design, communication, ongoing support for, and formal review of, cycles of evaluative and non evaluative feedback and an authentic, job-embedded professional learning system for teachers and administrators.

# Table of Contents

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEMBERS	2
PDEC VISION	2
PDEC MISSION	2
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Teacher Evaluation Overview</b>	<b>5</b>
TEACHER EVALUATION FRAMEWORK	5
PERFORMANCE LEVELS	6
PROFESSIONAL LEARNING AND FEEDBACK	6
PROCESS AND TIMELINE	6
TEACHER RESPONSIBILITIES	7
<b>Conferences</b>	<b>7</b>
BEGINNING OF YEAR GOAL SETTING CONFERENCE	7
MID-YEAR CONFERENCE	9
END OF YEAR CONFERENCE AND SUMMATIVE REVIEW	10
<b>Summative Evaluation</b>	<b>10</b>
TOUCHPOINT REVIEW	11
TYPES OF TOUCHPOINTS	11
OBSERVATION PROCESS	13
OBSERVATIONS OF PRACTICE	15
STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVE (SLO)	16
END OF YEAR TEACHER EVALUATION RATING	19
<b>Adjustment of Summative Rating</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>23</b>
VPS Teacher Phase Chart	23
Beginning of Year Goal Setting Conference Agenda	24
Mid-Year Conference Agenda	25
End of Year Conference Agenda	26
Professional Learning Goal (PLG) Action Plan	27
Professional Learning Goal (PLG) Action Plan Sample	28
Evaluation Touchpoint Review Chart	29
Evaluation Touchpoint Review Chart Sample	30
Example Artifacts	31

## INTRODUCTION

The goals of Vernon’s Teacher Evaluation Plan are to develop a comprehensive view of teacher performance and encourage and support teacher growth. The plan encourages the exchange of accurate, useful information about strengths and development areas and promotes collaboration and shared ownership for professional learning. When evaluation is paired with effective, relevant and timely feedback and support, the evaluation process has the potential to help move teachers along the path to exemplary practice.

As provided in subsection (a) of Sec. 10-151b (C.G.S.), as amended by Sec. 51 of P.A, 12-116, the superintendent of each local or regional board of education shall annually evaluate or cause to be evaluated each teacher. For the purposes of this document, the term “teacher” refers to any teacher serving in a position requiring teacher certification within a district, but not requiring a 092 certification. Furthermore, the superintendent of each local or regional board of education shall annually evaluate or cause to be evaluated each administrator who serves in a role requiring a 092 certification, in accordance with the requirements of Connecticut General Statutes.


---

## Teacher Evaluation Overview

### TEACHER EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

All teachers will be evaluated based on the following indicators:

- 1. Teacher Practice Related Indicators:** An evaluation of the core instructional practices and skills that positively affect student learning. This category is based on the **Observation of Teacher Performance and Practice** as defined within the [Common Core of Teaching \(CCT\) Rubric for Effective](#)



[Teaching](#) or the [CCT Student and Educator Support Specialists \(SESS\) Rubric](#).

2. **Student Outcomes Related Indicators:** An evaluation of teachers’ contributions to student academic progress at the school and classroom level. This area is based on **Student Growth and Development** as determined by the teacher’s student learning objective (SLO) and associated indicators of academic growth (IAGDs).

**PERFORMANCE LEVELS**

<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Developing</b>	<b>Below Standard</b>
Substantially exceeding indicators of performance	Meeting indicators of performance	Meeting some indicators of performance but not others	Not meeting indicators of performance


**PROFESSIONAL LEARNING AND FEEDBACK**

In any sector, people learn and grow by honestly co-assessing current performance, setting clear goals for future performance and outlining the supports needed to further their practice. Each year, all teachers will be self-reflective, collaborate with colleagues and administrators, and continuously look to improve their practice. They will identify their area of growth utilizing researched based best practices and determine their professional learning needs in mutual agreement with their evaluator. The identified needs in conjunction with analyses of best practices will serve as the foundation for ongoing conversations about the teacher’s practice and impact on student outcomes. The process may also reveal areas of common need among teachers, which can then become a focus of school-wide professional learning opportunities.

**PROCESS AND TIMELINE**

The annual evaluation process between a teacher and an evaluator (principal or designee) is anchored by three conferences which guide the process at the beginning, middle and end of the year. The purpose of these conversations is to clarify expectations for the evaluation process, provide focused and supportive feedback to each teacher on his/her performance, set learning goals and identify

opportunities for professional learning. These conversations are collaborative and require reflection and preparation by both the evaluator and the teacher in order to be productive and meaningful.



## TEACHER RESPONSIBILITIES

**Each teacher is responsible for:**

- At least one Student Learning Outcome (SLO)
- One Professional Learning Goal (PLG) with Action Plan
  - **Phase 1**-Non-tenured 1&2 teachers: Domain 1 CCT Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning
  - **Phase 2**-Non-tenured 3&4 and tenured teachers: Domain 3 CCT Instruction for Active Learning / SESS Service Delivery
  - Teachers on appraisal: CCT domain determined by administrator
- Touchpoint Review
  - Include evidence pertaining to all CCT domains in order to provide a more complete picture of your professional practice.

# Conferences

## BEGINNING OF YEAR GOAL SETTING CONFERENCE

	Beginning of Year Conference	Mid-Year Conference	End of Year Conference
<b>Non-Tenured and Appraisal</b>	by October 31	by February 15	by May 31
<b>Tenured</b>	by October 31	by March 1	by last day of school

- **Beginning of Year Pre-Conference** – Complete the Beginning of Year Conference form through Perform System
  - **SLO** - Teacher will prepare at least one SLO. The SLO should be based on prior year data, if applicable, as well as data from the current year.
  - **Professional Learning Goal (PLG) Action Plan** - Each teacher will identify one PLG and develop an Action Plan to support the attainment of this goal. For the PLG the teacher will choose one CCT indicator and one attribute from that indicator as the focus of their goal (see [VPS Teacher Phase Chart](#)). The teacher section of the [PLG Action Plan Form](#) should be filled in prior to the meeting. To upload a document in the Perform System, click on “my folder”, then “evidence”, scroll down and click on “add artifact”. Please name your document by clicking on “title”, click on “choose file” then “save artifact”. The teacher alone or together with their administrator should evaluate and update the Action Plan as needed throughout the year.
  
- **Beginning of Year Conference** –
  - **SLO** - The teacher and the administrator will mutually agree upon the SLO.
  - **PLG Action Plan** - The teacher and administrator will look at the draft action plan and add additional information as needed. The action plan must include a minimum of three steps.
  - See [Beginning of Year Conference Agenda](#)

- **Beginning of Year Post-Conference-**
  - **SLO** - Teacher will finalize and submit their SLO through Perform System.
  - **PLG** - Teacher will make any needed adjustments to their beginning action plans and submit through Perform System.
    - *Please remember the PLG Action Plan should be updated by throughout the year as additional learning opportunities arise or adjustments are made.*

**PLG Sample:**

Refer to the [sample PLG Action Plan](#) if necessary.

**MID-YEAR CONFERENCE**

	Beginning of Year Conference	Mid-Year Conference	End of Year Conference
<b>Non-Tenured and Appraisal</b>	by October 31	by February 15	by May 31
<b>Tenured</b>	by October 31	by March 1	by last day of school

- **Mid-Year Pre-Conference** – Complete the Mid-Year Conference form on Perform
  - **SLO** - The teacher will analyze the data and answer the mid-year reflection question in the Perform System. Prepare to discuss at the Mid-Year Conference.
  - **PLG** - The teacher will ensure the PLG Action Plan is up to date and all data is uploaded into the System. Prepare to discuss at the Mid-Year Conference.
  - **Touchpoint Review** - The teacher will upload a minimum of three touchpoints to the [Touchpoint Review document](#). To upload a document in the Perform System, click on “my folder”, then “evidence”, scroll down and click on “add artifact”. Please name your document by clicking on “title”, click on “choose file” then “save artifact”. Prepare to discuss at the Mid-Year Conference.
- **Mid-Year Conference** – The evaluator and teacher will review evidence related to teacher practice and progress towards the SLO and PLG. The

mid-year conference is an important point in the year for addressing concerns and reviewing results for the first half of the year. Evaluators may deliver mid-year formative information on indicators of the evaluation framework for which evidence has been gathered and analyzed. If needed, teachers and evaluators can mutually agree to revisions on the strategies or approaches used, elements of the PLG Action Plan, and/or mid-year adjustment of SLOs to accommodate changes (e.g., student populations, assignment). They also discuss actions that the teacher can take and supports the evaluator can provide to promote teacher growth in his/her focus area. *Evaluators will inform those teachers who may potentially be rated as “ineffective” at the end of the year; a secondary observer will be assigned at this time.*

- See [Mid-Year Conference Agenda](#)
- **Post-Conference Mid-Year** – Evaluators will complete and share the Mid-Year Conference form in Perform System.

**END OF YEAR CONFERENCE AND SUMMATIVE REVIEW**

	Beginning of Year Conference	Mid-Year Conference	End of Year Conference
Non-Tenured and Appraisal	by October 31	by February 15	by May 31
Tenured	by October 31	by March 1	by last day of school

\*Notification of non-renewal will be communicated no later than **May 1**.

- **Pre-Conference** – Complete the End of Year Conference form on Perform
  - **SLO** - Gather SLO data into presentable format and reflect on student progress. Prepare to discuss at the End of Year Conference.
  - **PLG** - Ensure the Action Plan is complete and up to date with all actions taken towards the PLG throughout the year. Prepare to discuss at the End of Year Conference.
  - **Touchpoint Review** - The teacher will upload a minimum of nine touchpoints to the Touchpoint Review document. Prepare to discuss at the End of Year Conference. To upload a document in the Perform System, click on “my folder”, then “evidence”, scroll down and click

on “add artifact”. Please name your document by clicking on “title”, click on “choose file” then “save artifact”.

- **Rating** – The evaluator reviews the submitted touchpoints and SLO data to generate ratings in the four domains of the CCT Rubric and the teacher SLO. The ratings for each area will determine the overall rating of “effective” or “ineffective” as defined in the chart.
- **End of Year Conference** – The evaluator and the teacher meet to discuss all evidence collected to date and to discuss the component ratings. Following the conference, the evaluator assigns an overall rating, based on the four CCT domains and the SLO, and generates a summary report of the evaluation before the end of the school year.
  - See [End of Year Conference Agenda](#)

# Summative Evaluation

## TOUCHPOINT REVIEW

In order to collect a broad set of data about teacher practice and therefore construct a more accurate and complete picture of teacher performance and growth, teachers and evaluators will review a minimum of nine touchpoints as part of the VPS evaluation system. The three types of touchpoints are **observation**, **artifact review**, and **collegial conversation**. These touchpoints are selected by the teacher with the goal of demonstrating their performance level on all domains of the CCT.

## TYPES OF TOUCHPOINTS

Observations	Artifacts Review	Collegial Conversations
<p>Observations of...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Team meetings</li> <li>● Case reviews</li> <li>● Coaching/mentoring other educators</li> <li>● Collaboration with colleagues</li> <li>● Crisis response</li> <li>● Consultations with parents</li> <li>● Other facilitated meetings (504/PPT's)</li> <li>● Participation in professional development</li> <li>● Facilitation of professional development sessions</li> <li>● Collaborative curriculum writing sessions</li> <li>● Planning meetings</li> <li>● Data team meetings</li> <li>● Professional Learning Community meetings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Lesson/unit plans</li> <li>● Student assessments</li> <li>● Student work</li> <li>● Student data</li> <li>● Call logs or notes from parent-teacher meetings</li> <li>● Attendance records from professional learning or school-based activities/events along with a teacher's reflection on his/her implementation of the new learning.</li> </ul> <p>See <a href="#">example artifact list</a></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Goal Setting Meetings</li> <li>● Self reflection conversations</li> <li>● Mid-Year Meetings</li> <li>● End of Year Meetings</li> <li>● Conversations about teaching and learning with primary and/or secondary evaluators</li> </ul>

### **Evidence Collection Recommendations**

- Evidence collection should be an ongoing process to supplement observations and collegial dialogue between an Educator and his/her Evaluator throughout the year.
- The Educator should remove any identifying information from student work samples for student data privacy purposes.

The above outlined structures and practice applies to Educators across all roles and responsibilities (e.g., school psychologist, guidance counselors, and speech and language pathologists, among others) however, evidence is collected to support growth in alignment with The Connecticut Common Core of Teaching (CCT) Rubric for Effective Service Delivery. Educators in areas covered by a service delivery model will follow the same timelines as classroom Educators. These support specialists may develop growth and indicators based on his/her role in the district.

## **SUPPORT AND DEVELOPMENT**

### **Improvement and Remediation Plans**

If a teacher's performance is rated as "*ineffective*" it signals the need for focused support and development. Improvement and remediation plans will be developed in consultation with the teacher and his/her exclusive bargaining representative and be differentiated by the level of identified need and/or stage of development. Improvement and remediation plans must:

- identify resources, support and other strategies to be provided by the board of education or its designee to address documented deficiencies;
- indicate a timeline for implementing such resources, support and other strategies, in the course of the same school year as the plan is issued; and
- include indicators of success including a summative rating of *proficient* or better at the conclusion of the improvement and remediation plan.

Plans can be developed at any time and are required for any teacher placed into the appraisal cycle.

## **TEACHER PRACTICE RELATED INDICATORS**

### **Teacher Performance and Practice**

The Teacher Performance and Practice component is a comprehensive review of teaching practice conducted through multiple observations, which are evaluated against a standards-based rubric. Following observations, evaluators provide

teachers with specific feedback to identify strong practice, to identify teacher development needs and to tailor support to meet those needs.

### **Teacher Practice Framework- CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching**

The 2014 [\*CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching\*](#) is available on the SEED website and represents the most important skills and knowledge that teachers need to successfully educate each and every one of their students. The CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching is organized into four domains (domains 1-4), each with 3 indicators.

[\*The Common Core of Teaching \(CCT\) Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017\*](#) is available on the SEED website and parallels the revised *CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2014* and illustrates the common threads of practice among all educators in the service of children. Specifically, School Psychologists, Speech and Language Pathologists, School Social Workers and Comprehensive School Counselors may find this rubric most appropriate. However, that does not exclude other educators who may serve a caseload of students, staff and/or families from considering this rubric as a tool for observation of their performance and practice.

## **OBSERVATION PROCESS**

### **Primary and Secondary Observers**

The primary evaluator for most teachers will be the school principal or assistant principal who will be responsible for the overall evaluation process, including assigning summative ratings. Vernon Public Schools may also decide to use secondary observers to assist the primary evaluator. Secondary observers are certified administrators and are fully trained as evaluators in order to be authorized to serve in this role. Secondary observers are also required for teachers in an appraisal cycle or who may be placed in an appraisal cycle.

Secondary observers may assist primary evaluators by conducting observations, including pre- and post-conferences, collecting additional evidence, reviewing student learning objectives (SLOs), (PLGs), and providing additional feedback. A secondary observer will share his/her feedback with the primary evaluator as it is collected and shared with teachers.

Primary evaluators will have sole responsibility for assigning final summative ratings. Both primary evaluators and secondary observers must demonstrate proficiency in conducting standards-based observations.

### **Ensuring Fairness and Accuracy: Evaluator Training, Monitoring and Auditing**

All evaluators are required to complete training on the evaluation model. VPS will provide opportunities throughout the year to support district administrators and evaluators through ongoing training to ensure that evaluators are effective in conducting teacher evaluations.

At the request of a district or employee, the CSDE or a third-party entity approved by the CSDE will audit the evaluation components that are combined to determine an individual's summative rating in the event that such components are significantly dissimilar (i.e., include both *exemplary* and *below standard* ratings) ratings in different components. In these cases, the CSDE or a third-party entity will determine a final summative rating.

### ***Pre-Conferences and Post-Conferences for Formal Observations:***

**Pre-conferences** are valuable for giving context for the lesson, providing information about the students to be observed and setting expectations for the observation process. Pre-conferences are optional for observations except where noted in the requirements described in the table above. Teachers will complete the appropriate electronic forms.

Please note: reviewing lesson plans in a pre-conference, prior to a scheduled observation, generally provides evidence for the planning domain and is considered a part of the formal observation process. It does not serve as a separate observation.

**Post-conferences** provide a forum for reflecting on the observation against the CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching/*Rubric for Effective Service Delivery* and for planning towards teacher improvement. A good post-conference:

- begins with an opportunity for the teacher to share his/her reflections on the lesson;
- cites objective evidence to paint a clear picture for both the teacher and the evaluator about the teacher's successes, what improvements will be made and where future observations may focus;
- focuses on growth of the teacher;
- involves written and/or verbal feedback from the evaluator;

- occurs within five business days; and
- allows for teachers to respond in writing

Classroom observations will focus only on evidence for domains 1 and 3 of the CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching/*Rubric for Effective Service Delivery*.

## OBSERVATIONS OF PRACTICE

### Classroom Teachers and Support Specialists

See [VPS Teacher Phase Chart](#)

**Formal:** Observations that last at least 30 minutes and are followed by a post-observation conference, which includes written and verbal feedback within five business days.

**Informal:** Observations that last at least 10 minutes and are followed by written and/or verbal feedback.

All observations must be followed by feedback, either verbal, written or both, within a timely manner. Feedback will be shared within five business days.

Teachers who receive an End-of-Year Performance Evaluation Summary Rating of below standard or developing shall receive a number of observations appropriate to their individual development plan with no fewer than (2) in-class formal observations, one of two observations must include a pre-conference, and all of the observations must include a post-conference with timely written and verbal feedback.

### Teacher Performance and Practice Scoring

Evaluators are not required to provide an overall rating for each observation, but they will provide ratings and evidence for the Rubric indicators that were observed, specifically Domains 1 & 3. During observations, evaluators should take evidence-based notes, capturing specific instances of what the teacher and students said and did in the classroom. Once the evidence has been recorded, the evaluator can align the evidence with the appropriate indicator(s) on the Rubrics and then make a determination about which performance level the evidence supports. Ratings on observed indicators will be recorded.

### **Summative Observation of Teacher Performance and Practice Rating**

Primary evaluators must determine a final teacher performance and practice rating and discuss this rating with teachers during the End-of-Year Conference. Within the Vernon model, each domain of the CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching carries equal weight in the final rating. Refer to Teacher Evaluation Framework.

The summative Teacher Performance and Practice domain ratings will be shared and discussed with teachers during the End-of-Year Conference.

## **STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVE (SLO)**


### **Student Growth and Development**

Student Learning Objective (SLO) captures a teacher's impact on student learning and is part of the teacher's final summative rating. The inclusion of student outcomes indicators acknowledges that teachers are committed to the learning and growth of their students and carefully consider what knowledge, skills and talents they are responsible for developing in their students each year. As a part of the evaluation and support process, teachers document their goals of student learning and anchor them in data.

### **Overview of Student Learning Objective (SLO)**

Each teacher's students, individually and as a group, are different from other teachers' students, even in the same grade level or subject at the same school. For student growth and development to be measured for teacher evaluation and support purposes, it is imperative to use a method that takes each teacher's assignment, students and context into account. SLOs should reflect high expectations for learning or improvement and aim for mastery of content or skill development. SLOs are measured by **Indicators of Academic Growth and Development (IAGDs)** which include specific targets for student mastery or progress.

Developing SLOs is a process rather than a single event. The purpose is to craft Student Learning Objectives that serve as a reference point throughout the year as teachers document their students' progress toward achieving the IAGD targets. Teachers may develop them through consultation with colleagues in the same grade level or teaching the same subject. The final determination of SLOs and IAGDs is made through mutual agreement between the teacher and his/her evaluator.



### **STEP 1: Review the Data**

This first phase is the discovery phase which begins with reviewing district initiatives, and key priorities, school/district improvement plans and the building administrator’s goals. Once teachers know their class rosters, they should examine multiple sources of data, including standardized assessments when available, about their students’ performance to identify an area(s) of need. Documenting the “baseline” data, or where students are at the beginning of the year, is a key aspect of this step. It allows the teacher to identify where students are with respect to the grade level or content area the teacher is teaching.

It is important that the teacher understands both the individual student and group strengths and challenges. This information serves as the foundation for setting the ambitious yet realistic goals in the next phase.

### **STEP 2: Set 1 SLO**

Based on a review of district and building data, teachers will develop at least one SLO which address identified needs. Each SLO will have at least two IAGDs citing goals for specific groups of students.

### **STEP 3: Monitor Student Progress**

Once the SLO is approved, teachers should monitor students’ progress towards the objectives. Teachers can, for example: examine student work, administer interim assessments and track students’ accomplishments and struggles. Teachers can share their interim findings with colleagues during collaborative time and they can keep their evaluator apprised of progress. Progress towards SLO/IAGDs and action steps for achieving progress should be referenced in feedback conversations throughout the year and specifically during the midyear conference.

If a teacher’s assignment changes, or if his/her student population shifts significantly, the SLO can be adjusted during the midyear conference between the evaluator and the teacher.

**STEP 4: Assess Student Outcomes Relative to the SLO**

At the end of the school year, the teacher should collect and upload evidence required by their IAGDs, and submit it to their evaluator. Along with the evidence, teachers will complete a self-assessment, which asks teachers to reflect on the SLO outcomes and determine if the SLO was met. Based on the current year’s PLG, teachers will determine a possible area of focus for the following school year.

Evaluators will review the evidence and the teacher’s self-assessment and assign one of four ratings to the SLO: Exceeded (Exemplary), Met (Proficient), Partially Met (Developing) or Did Not Meet (Below Standard). These ratings are defined as follows:

<b>Exemplary (4)</b>	All or most students met or substantially exceeded the target(s) contained in the indicator(s) and/or all or most students showed significant growth over time (e.g., more than one year’s growth).
<b>Proficient (3)</b>	Most students met the target(s) contained in the indicators within a few points on either side of the target(s) and/or showed significant growth over time (e.g., one year’s growth).
<b>Developing (2)</b>	Many students met the target(s), but a notable percentage missed the target by more than a few points and/or many students did not show appropriate growth over time (e.g., less than one year’s growth. However, taken as a whole, some progress towards the goal was made.)

**Below Standard (1)** A few students met the target(s) but a substantial percentage of students did not. Little progress toward the goal or growth was made by a majority of the students.

Since the SLO will have more than one IAGD, the evaluator will look at the results as a body of evidence regarding the accomplishment of the objective and score the SLO holistically. Results for IAGDs will be based on the students who were evaluated on the pre-assessment in the fall or start of the relevant term. In some cases data may not be available for the EOY conference in order to create a final rating, especially for non-tenured teachers. In these cases the evaluator

will use the data available up to the time of the EOY conference to determine the SLO rating. Ratings on SLOs can be adjusted after the EOY conference through June 30<sup>th</sup>. Adjusted ratings can impact Teacher Status in the subsequent school year, e.g. Appraisal.

SLO Approval Criteria		
Priority of Content	Quality of Indicators	Rigor of Objective/Indicators
Objective is relevant to teacher’s assignment and addresses all students.	Indicators provide specific, measurable evidence. The indicators provide evidence about students’ progress over the school year or semester during which they are with the teacher.	Objective and indicators are attainable but ambitious and taken together, represent at least a year’s worth of growth for students (or appropriate growth for a shorter interval of instruction).

**END OF YEAR TEACHER EVALUATION RATING**

Every educator will receive one of four performance ratings for each of the **five areas** (4 domains and 1 SLO):

- Exemplary** – Substantially exceeding indicators of performance
- Proficient** – Meeting indicators of performance
- Developing** – Meeting some indicators of performance but not others
- Below Standard** – Not meeting indicators of performance

**The final ratings in each area will then be used to determine if a teacher is effective or ineffective.**

**Adjustment of Summative Rating**

Summative ratings must be provided for all teachers by June 30<sup>th</sup> of a given school year and reported to the CSDE per state guidelines. Should state standardized test data not yet be available at the time of calculating a summative rating, a rating must be completed based on evidence that is available.

When the summative rating for a teacher may be significantly impacted by state standardized test data, the evaluator should recalculate the teacher's summative rating when the data is available and submit the adjusted rating no later than September 15<sup>th</sup>. These adjustments should inform goal setting in the new school year.

### **Dispute-Resolution Process**

The local or regional board of education shall include a process for resolving disputes in cases where the evaluator and teacher cannot agree on goals/objectives, the evaluation period, feedback or the professional development plan. When such agreement cannot be reached, the issue in dispute will be referred for resolution to a subcommittee of the PDEC. The superintendent and the respective collective bargaining unit for the district will each select one representative from the PDEC to constitute this subcommittee, as well as a neutral party as mutually agreed upon between the superintendent and the collective bargaining unit. In the event that the designated committee does not reach a unanimous decision, the issue shall be considered by the superintendent whose decision shall be binding.

CT State Board of Education-Adopted Revisions: Guidelines for Educator Evaluation May 7, 2014 Dispute-Resolution Process (3) In accordance with the requirement in the 1999 Connecticut Guidelines for Teacher Evaluation and Professional Development, in establishing or amending the local teacher evaluation plan, the local or regional board of education shall include a process for resolving disputes in cases where the evaluator and teacher cannot agree on goals/objectives, the evaluation period, feedback or the professional development plan. As an illustrative example of such a process (which serves as an option and not a requirement for districts), when such agreement cannot be reached, the issue in dispute may be referred for resolution to a subcommittee of the professional development and evaluation committee (PDEC). In this example, the superintendent and the respective collective bargaining unit for the district may each select one representative from the PDEC to constitute this subcommittee, as well as a neutral party as mutually agreed upon between the superintendent and the collective bargaining unit. In the event the designated committee does not reach a unanimous decision, the issue shall be considered by the superintendent whose decision shall be binding. This provision is to be utilized in accordance with the specified processes and parameters regarding goals/objectives, evaluation period, feedback, and professional development contained in this document entitled "Connecticut Guidelines for Educator

Evaluation.” Should the process established as required by the document entitled “Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation,” dated June 2012 not result in resolution of a given issue, the determination regarding that issue shall be made by the superintendent. An example will be provided within the State model.

Rating System 2.1: 4-Level Matrix Rating System (1)Annual summative evaluations provide each teacher with a summative rating aligned to one of four performance evaluation designators: Exemplary, Proficient, Developing and Below Standard.

(a)The performance levels shall be defined as follows:

- Exemplary – Substantially exceeding indicators of performance
- Proficient – Meeting indicators of performance
- Developing – Meeting some indicators of performance but not others
- Below standard – Not meeting indicators of performance

Connecticut State Department of Education, Bureau of Educator Effectiveness and Professional Learning P.O. Box 2219, Hartford, Connecticut 06145 | HOTLINE 860-713-6868 | sde.seed@ct.gov 83 The term “performance” in the above shall mean “progress as defined by specified indicators.” Such indicators shall be mutually agreed upon, as applicable. Such progress shall be demonstrated by evidence.

**Overall Rating:**

Overall Rating of “Effective” or “Ineffective”. Domain “ratings” are the aggregate of that domain and not the ratings on individual indicators.

“**Areas**” refers to the four domains of the CCT Rubrics and SLOs (5 total areas)

Evaluation Phase	Effective		Ineffective	
	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Below Standard
<b>Phase 1</b> Non-Tenured Years 1&2 and Appraisal	“Exemplary” rating in 3 or more areas, including SLO and “Proficient” rating in remaining areas	“Proficient” rating in 4 or more areas: must be rated “Proficient” in SLO and Domains 1&3	“Proficient rating in only 3 areas. Must be rated “Proficient” in Domains 1&3	“Proficient” rating in only 1-2 areas or “Developing” or “Below Standard” rating in Domain 1 or Domain 3
<b>Phase 2</b> Non-Tenured	“Exemplary” rating in 3 or	“Proficient” rating in all 5	“Proficient” rating in only 3-4	“Proficient rating on only 1-2 areas

Years 3&4 and Tenured	more areas, including SLO <b>and</b> “Proficient” rating in remaining areas	areas	areas	or “Below Standard” in any area
-----------------------	---	-------	-------	---------------------------------

Non-tenured teachers deemed “Ineffective” at the End of Year Summative Meeting (by May 1) shall be subject to non-renewal.

\*Newly hired teachers who previously obtained tenure in another Connecticut district will be placed into “Non-Tenured Year 3&4” of the non-tenured cycle. Tenured teachers deemed “Ineffective” at the End of Year Summative Meeting (by the last day of school) shall be placed on the Appraisal Cycle for the following year. Appraisal cycle requires at least one secondary observer and a support plan. Any teacher with an “Ineffective” rating at the end of the Appraisal Cycle will be subject to termination.

## Appendices

### VPS Teacher Phase Chart

	PLG CCT Focus	Total Number of Touchpoints Required	Formal Observation	Informal Observation
<b>Phase 1</b> Non-Tenured 1&2 and Appraisal	<b>Domain 1 or determined by administrator</b>	<b>9 Touchpoints</b>	<b>A minimum of 2</b> At least one announced with pre and post conference.	<b>A minimum of 1</b>
<b>Phase 2</b> Non-Tenured 3&4 and Tenure	<b>Domain 3</b>	<b>9 Touchpoints</b>	<b>A minimum of 1 formal or informal</b>	

**\*For example, a non-tenured year 1 teacher would have a minimum of 2 formal observations, 1 informal observation and 6 touchpoints (please refer to *Touchpoints of Formative Review*).**

# Beginning of Year Goal Setting Conference Agenda

## Professional Learning Goal (PLG)

Review practice and develop PLG.

- What is the focus of your PLG?
- How will the new learning that occurs affect student learning?
- How might you collaborate with colleagues in order to make progress towards your PLG?
- What action steps have you identified? What others might be added? How can administration support you?

## Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Discuss initial data about student achievement and identify an SLO and IAGDs.

- What assessments have been conducted that indicate student performance levels?
- How have students achieved overall? Student subgroups?
- What is the SLO? How will it focus on growth for all students?
- How will the IAGDs measure progress towards the SLO?
- When will assessments be conducted? When will there be an opportunity to reflect on progress?

## Touchpoint Review

Discuss CCT and possible touchpoints.

- What touchpoints might be included on the Touchpoint Review document as the year progresses?

# Mid-Year Conference Agenda

## Professional Learning Goal (PLG)

Review action plan and progress towards PLG.

- What action steps have been helpful in progressing towards your PLG?
- What new learning has occurred and how has it impacted instruction?
- What action steps could be added or modified going forward?
- Does the focus of the PLG need to shift or change? If so, how?

## Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Review data about student progress towards the SLO.

- What assessments have been conducted that indicate student performance levels?
- How have students achieved overall? Student subgroups?
- How has instruction impacted student learning?
- Evaluate the progress towards the SLO.
- Does the SLO need to be modified or changed? If so, how?

## Touchpoint Review

Review touchpoints and representation on the CCT.

- How do the touchpoints and artifacts included on the touchpoint review document so far reflect teacher performance in the different domains of the CCT?
- What additional touchpoints might be included in the future?

# End of Year Conference Agenda

## Professional Learning Goal (PLG)

Review action plan and progress towards PLG.

- What action steps have been helpful in progressing towards your PLG?
- What new learning has occurred and how has it impacted instruction?
- Has the PLG been met?

## Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Review data about student progress towards the SLO.

- What assessments have been conducted that indicate student performance levels?
- How have students achieved overall? Student subgroups?
- How has instruction impacted student learning?
- Has the SLO been met?

## Touchpoint Review

Review touchpoints and representation on the CCT.

- How do the touchpoints and artifacts included on the touchpoint review document reflect teacher performance in the different domains of the CCT?

## Professional Learning Goal (PLG) Action Plan

*What are your strengths? Where would you like to challenge yourself to grow? Consider your focus and goals from the previous school year?*

*What is your learning goal (personal or shared) for the year?*

*Which indicator in Domain 3 will your PLG focus on?*

*Identify the action steps you will take to achieve this goal. You must have a minimum of three total action steps.*

<b>Timeline</b>	<b>Action Step</b>
September-October	Finalization of PLG Action Plan at the Beginning of Year Conference
November-January	
November-January	
November-January	
February-March	Reflect on PLG at Mid-Year Conference and Modify Plan as Needed
February-June	
February-June	
February-June	
June	Reflect on PLG at End of Year Conference

## Professional Learning Goal (PLG) Action Plan Sample

*What are your strengths? Where would you like to challenge yourself to grow? Consider your focus and goals from the previous school year?*

### *Strengths*

- *Rapport with students*
- *High expectations that all students will learn*
- *Classroom environment - high standards of student behavior*
- *Collaboration with peers*

### *Where I would like to challenge myself to grow*

- *Differentiated instruction... meeting the needs of all learners*
- *Strategies, tasks, and questions to push all students to grow*

*What is your learning goal (personal or shared) for the year?*

*I will implement resources and flexible groupings that cognitively engage students and foster student ownership by conducting a book study on the Differentiated Classroom by Carol Tomlinson.*

*Which indicator in Domain 3 will your PLG focus on?*

*3b2*

*Identify the action steps you will take to achieve this goal. You must have a minimum of three total action steps.*

<b>Timeline</b>	<b>Action Step</b>
September-October	Finalization of PLG Action Plan at the Beginning of Year Conference
November-January	Plan reading with PLC on the <i>Differentiated Classroom</i> by Carol Tomlinson
November-January	Collegial conversations with PLC in November focusing on readings from <i>Differentiated Classroom</i> .
November-January	
February-March	Reflect on PLG at Mid-Year Conference and Modify Plan as Needed
February-June	Implementation of differentiation
February-June	Self reflection of student outcomes
February-June	
June	Reflect on PLG at End of Year Conference

## Evaluation Touchpoint Review Chart

*A minimum of nine touchpoints are required by the end of the school year. Please have uploaded and be prepared to discuss at least three touchpoints (Beginning of Year Conference plus two additional touchpoints) by the Mid-Year Conference. All remaining touchpoints must be uploaded before the end of the school year. Include a variety of CCT domains in order to provide a more complete picture of your professional practice.*

<b>Touchpoint Number</b>	<b>Timeline</b>	<b>CCT Domain(s)</b>	<b>Touchpoint (Events or Actions)</b>	<b>Artifact (Evidence)</b>	<b>Person(s) Responsible for Artifact Creation Teacher, Evaluator, or Both</b>
1	September - October	1,3,4	Beginning of Year Goal Setting Conference including Review of SLO Baseline Data	Completed beginning of year goal setting meeting form	Teacher and evaluator
2	November - January				
3	November - January				
4	November - January				
5	February - March	1,3,4	Mid-Year Conference	Completed Teacher Mid-Year Reflection form	Teacher and evaluator
6	February - June				
7	February - June				
8	February - June				
9	June	1,3,4	End of Year Conference including SLO Data and Reflection	Completed Teacher End of Year Reflection form	Teacher and evaluator

## Evaluation Touchpoint Review Chart Sample

A minimum of nine touchpoints are required by the end of the school year. Please have uploaded and be prepared to discuss at least three touchpoints (Beginning of Year Conference plus two additional touchpoints) by the Mid-Year Conference. All remaining touchpoints must be uploaded before the end of the school year. Include a variety of CCT domains in order to provide a more complete picture of your professional practice.

Touchpoint Number	Timeline	CCT Domain(s)	Touchpoint (Events or Actions)	Artifact (Evidence)	Person(s) Responsible for Artifact Creation <i>Teacher, Evaluator, or Both</i>
1	September - October	1,3,4	Beginning of Year Goal Setting Conference including Review of SLO Baseline Data	Completed beginning of year goal setting meeting form	Teacher and evaluator
2	November - January	2,3	Differentiated groupings	Student formative assessment data and analysis	Teacher
3	November - January	4	Participate in November PLC focusing on <i>Differentiated Classroom</i> by Carol Tomlinson	November PLC minutes	Teacher
4	February - March	1,3,4	Mid-Year Conference	Completed Teacher Mid-Year Reflection form	Teacher and evaluator
5	February - June	1,3	Evaluator observation	Written feedback	Evaluator
6	February - June	2	Differentiated lesson on the distributive property	Lesson plan	Teacher
7	February - June	4	Parent contact via email	Parent email sequence	Teacher
8	February - June	4	Provided professional development on differentiated instruction	Google slideshow and participant feedback	Teacher
9	June	1,3,4	End of Year Conference including SLO Data and Reflection	Completed Teacher End of Year Reflection form	Teacher and evaluator

## Example Artifacts

Artifacts will vary depending on content area, grade, and educator. Some items may be applicable to more than one domain, and some items may be more appropriate for one grade level or subject than another. Examples include, but are not limited to the following:

### Required Artifacts:

- Self-evaluation documents submitted into Review System.
- Student Learning Objective (SLO) assessment data (benchmarks, standardized, summative, formative, rubrics).

### Suggested Artifacts but not limited to:

- Copy of syllabus
- Examples of positive learning environment in action (procedures, photos, videos)
- Photographs of displays used for instruction (bulletin boards, anchor charts, posters)
- Examples of parent communication (newsletters, parent communication logs)
- Strategies for instruction
- Plan book (lesson plans, unit plans, mini-lessons)
- Common Planning Time, Interdisciplinary Teams, or Data Team meeting minutes/notes
- Differentiated instruction (intervention logs, intervention data, student groupings).
- Projects/Activities
- Re-teaching/reinforcement opportunities
- Enrichment activities
- Workshop model/centers/stations
- Student Performance data in graph format
- Examples of performance tasks
- Exit slips
- Student work samples with copies of Educator feedback
- Anecdotal notes on student progress, school improvement, or professional growth
- Contributions to school community
- Attendance at school and student functions
- Evidence of Collaboration/Co-planning/Co-teaching
- Interaction with students' families/community during and outside of school
- Participation in school activities/clubs/committees
- Participation in professional development opportunities (internal and external)
- Examples of peer feedback (ex. accountable talk)
- Participation in intervention/referral process (SRBI Meeting, PPT, 504)
- Video clips
- Educator websites
- Blog
- Action Research
- Online sites/programs